Finally, the holy grail Mysteries are all Solved!!

*** Literally, the most valuable discoveries of all time ***



Hidden Outstanding Legendary = Yonder = Goblet (Greal) Royal (Real) = Ancient = Important = Lifeblood (Sang)

=

Sacred (San) =

Painting by Dante Gabriel Rossetti

▶ PART 0: Introduction to the Seven *Literal* Grail Truths PART 1: Christ's Literal Wedding, in Song of Songs PART 2: Christ's Literal Virgin Queen, in Biblical Records PART 3: Christ's Literal Ark, in Old Covenant Scriptures PART 4: Christ's *Literal* San-gReal, in New Covenant Prophecy PART 5: Christ's Literal Son, in New Testament Writings PART 6: Christ's Literal Daughter, in Books by Paul PART 7: Christ's Literal Wife, in Revelation by John

PART 0: Introduction to the Seven Literal Grail Truths

<u>IMPORTANT</u>: It is highly recommended that you read each part in order, beginning with this introduction (Part 0). Otherwise, you will miss important foundational information and background, etc.

A. The Introduction to the Introduction

Part 0 is the introduction, to the seven-part series about the *literal* holy grail truths (eight parts total, including this introduction). This series will be dealing with the most valuable discoveries, of all time!!! Additionally, this series will sort the fact from the fiction, like it has never been done before.

What, actually, is the holy grail? Is it a cup (from the last supper), a stone, a sword, or the ark of the covenant? Or perhaps, is it the wife of Christ—and/or their descendants (the bloodline)? Did He get married, to Mary Magdalene? Was she a virgin, or a harlot? The fictional and apocryphal accounts say that she was the lover or wife of Christ, but are they correct? And did they have a daughter, named Sarah? The answers, to all of these questions, are actually far more shocking, amazing, and beautiful—than any fictional writer could ever conjure up, or imagine; as the saying goes: "Truth is stranger than fiction!"

<u>NOTE</u>: Some use "Yeshua" (or "Yahshua"), and "Yahweh"; while "Jesus" and "Jehovah" are found in most Bible versions—including the KJV (probably the best-selling Bible version, of all time). KJV is the primary Bible, quoted in this grail series; so "Jesus" and "Jehovah" are used, in this series. If this topic interests you: please <u>see the website</u> for advanced biblical evidence, regarding the sacred name subject.

FINALLY, THE HOLY GRAIL MYSTERIES ARE ALL SOLVED!!			
troduction to the Seven Literal Grail Truths [You are here]			
Wedding, in Song of Songs			
Virgin Queen, in Biblical Records			
Ark, in Old Covenant Scriptures			
San-gReal, in New Covenant Prophecy			
Son, in New Testament Writings			
Daughter, in Books by Paul			
Wife, in Revelation by John			

M CODDD I

B. Outline of the Seven-Part Series

<u>TECHNICAL NOTES (for entire series)</u>: To aid in rapid comprehension, <u>underlines</u> are used frequently; simply skim each paragraph, before and/or after reading it normally, and focus on all "<u>EMPHASIZED</u>" words (as well as any word or short phrase, "within quote marks"). Text coloring and highlighting have meanings (<u>see the website</u>); emphasis is normally <u>underlines</u>, *italics*, ALL CAPS, and **bold** (there are a few obvious exceptions, but **bold** is primarily reserved for quotes from God's word). Bible verses are all from the KJV (unless otherwise noted). Bible commentaries quoted can be found on <u>BibleHub.com</u>; Strong's numbers are used, after the number sign (#). To make further study easier, references are listed within the same paragraph (not pages away, like some articles and books). Any abnormal punctuation or grammar, within this article, is intended to improve reading and comprehension—especially if you understand the

system used (<u>see the website</u>). For convenience, Wikipedia is frequently quoted (for many people, it's more accessible than libraries, books, encyclopedias, etc); also, most of the Wikipedia quotes, in this article, are common knowledge—and/or they have additional source documentation (in Wikipedia, or elsewhere).

C. Outline of Part 0

- A. The Introduction to the Introduction
- B. Outline of the Seven-Part Series
- C. <u>Outline of Part 0</u> [You are here]
- D. Three Ark and Grail Movies Profit ~\$1.5 BILLION!
- E. <u>The Holy Grail: Artifact, or Bloodline?</u>
- F. Artifact and Bloodline Objections Answered
 F-1. The Holy Grail Legends Are All Fiction
 F-2. The Priory of Sion Was a Hoax
 F-3a. The Bible Does NOT Say that Jesus Was Married
 F-3b. Actually, the Bible DOES Say that Jesus Was Married
- G. <u>The Married Messiah in Isaiah</u>
 G-1. The Messiah Is a *Literal* Human "Father" (Isaiah 9:6)
 G-2. "He Shall See His Seed" (Isaiah 53:10)
- H. <u>Triumphal Entry: Two Riders (Queen and King)</u>
 H-1. One Donkey, or Two?
 H-2. One Rider, or Two?
 H-3. Matthew's Carefully Hidden Truth (Two Riders)
 H-4. Male and Female: Disciples, Donkeys, Clothes, and Riders
- I. <u>The Ten Virgins Parable, It's Time to Awake</u>
 I-1. Who Got Married, in the Ten Virgins Parable?
 I-2. Crying Wolf, Without the Credentials
 I-3. Two Thousand Years Later, Right on Time
 I-4. Is Jesus Two Thousand Years Late?
- J. <u>Literal Grail Acronym: H.O.L.Y. G.R.A.I.L.S.</u> J-1. Hidden, Outstanding, Legendary, Yonder J-2. Goblet, Royal, Ancient, Important, Lifeblood, Sacred
- K. <u>Further Validation from Symbolic Grails</u>
 K-1. The Holy Grail of Chronology
 K-2. ARCHaeology Acronym: M.E.E.T. a.t. G.R.A.V.E.Y.A.R.D.S.
- L. <u>The Conclusion to the Introduction</u> L-1. How to Be Ready: J.U.S.T.
 L-2. Who Discovered these *Literal* and <u>Symbolic</u> Grails?
 - L-2. Who Discovered these *Literal* and <u>Symbolic</u> Orans:
 - L-3. Traveling Music Group: Fairest among Warner
 - L-4. Next: Christ's *Literal* Wedding, in Song of Songs

D. Three Ark and Grail Movies Profit ~\$1.5 BILLION!

The following is a list of <u>profits</u>, from three popular <u>movies</u>. The first one is about the <u>ark</u> of the covenant; the second one is about the holy grail <u>artifact</u>, and the third one is about the holy grail <u>bloodline</u>.

<u>Raiders of the Lost Ark</u>: budget, ~\$18,000,000; gross, \$389,925,971; profit, \$371,000,000+ <u>Indiana Jones and the Last Crusade</u>: budget, ~\$48,000,000; gross, \$474,171,806; profit, \$426,000,000+ <u>The Da Vinci Code</u>: budget, ~\$125,000,000; gross, \$760,006,945; profit, \$635,000,000+ (https://IMDb.com)

<u>Totals</u>: budget, ~\$191,000,000; gross, \$1,624,104,722; profit, \$1,432,000,000+ (not including misc costs)

The <u>book</u> by Dan Brown, also titled *The Da Vinci Code*, sold <u>over 80 million</u> copies; and it's listed in the <u>top</u> best-selling books, of <u>all time (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_best-selling_books</u>). Other related works include, *The Holy Blood and the Holy Grail* (a popular book by Baigent, Leigh, and Lincoln); and a popular movie, *The Last Temptation of Christ*; etc.

There are more than <u>2¹/4 billion Christians</u>, worldwide; this <u>exceeds one quarter</u> of the entire <u>world</u> <u>population</u>! Some people might prefer the dramatized fictional, or semi-fictional accounts (such as the movies and books, mentioned above); but many people—especially <u>Christians</u>—will surely find even <u>greater</u> interest in a <u>biblically</u> based, and <u>factual</u> account, of these <u>same</u> subjects (the ark, the holy grail, the marriage of Christ, His offspring, etc). And that is <u>exactly</u> what this <u>grail series</u> is all about.

E. The Holy Grail: Artifact, or Bloodline?

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "Various traditions describe the <u>Holy Grail</u> as a cup, dish, or stone with <u>miraculous</u> healing powers ..." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holy_Grail</u>).

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "Excalibur is the mythical <u>sword of King Arthur</u> [from the holy grail legends] that may be attributed with <u>magical</u> powers or associated with the rightful <u>sovereignty of Britain</u>. Traditionally, the sword in the stone that is the proof of Arthur's lineage and the sword given him by a Lady of the Lake are not the same weapon ..." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Excalibur</u>).

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "… the <u>Holy Grail</u> also called <u>Sangreal</u>, derived from either the Spanish 'San Greal' (the Holy Grail), or the French 'Sang real' (royal blood)." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robert_Langdon</u>).

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "In the 15th century, English writer John Hardyng invented a fanciful new etymology for Old French *san-graal* (or *san-gréal*), meaning '<u>Holy Grail</u>', by parsing [dividing] it as *sang réal*, meaning 'royal blood'. ... *sang real* refers to the Jesus bloodline." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holy_Grail</u>).

So the holy <u>grail</u>—as an inanimate <u>artifact</u>—is considered to be a stone, sword, cup, chalice, bowl, dish, and/or platter, etc. The <u>ark</u> of the covenant is frequently <u>associated</u> with the grail, though it's <u>not</u> usually <u>called</u> the holy <u>grail</u>; however, <u>some</u> people believe that the <u>ark</u> is indeed the <u>actual holy grail</u>. Others believe that the holy grail is Mary <u>Magdalene's womb</u> (a <u>symbolic</u> cup), and/or the <u>offspring</u> of Jesus (bloodline). The grail legends about King Arthur, also deal with his "lineage" (bloodline).

Then <u>who is right</u>: those who say that the holy grail is an <u>artifact</u>, or those who claim that it is a <u>bloodline</u>? Or maybe <u>none</u> of them are right, because the grail stories are all <u>just fantasy</u> and fairy tales (see F-1). <u>God foresaw</u> the various grail myths; and He <u>preempted</u> them all, by creating His own grail romances—which are <u>better</u> than any <u>fiction</u> could ever be! And He wrote them, not merely in a book (the Bible): He also wrote them right into historical <u>factual reality</u>.

Furthermore, <u>God</u> was <u>not</u> so limited as to think that the Holy Grail could be <u>only</u> one thing (artifact, OR bloodline). So He designed His grails to be His *literal* <u>wife</u>, AND His *literal* <u>offspring</u>, AND the <u>ark</u>, AND a very special <u>artifact</u>, etc (the seven *literal* grail truths, detailed in this series).

F. Artifact and Bloodline Objections Answered

This section gives clear answers, to the <u>three</u> most common <u>objections</u> that are used—in attempts to refute the holy grail <u>artifact</u>, and/or the holy grail <u>bloodline</u>. Of course, there are certainly more than three objections; but with these <u>three removed</u>, it will be easy to <u>see the truth</u>—for anyone who <u>wants</u> to see the truth (please <u>pray</u>, for God to guide you). And for those who have <u>strong</u> motivations <u>not to see</u> the truth: no amount of answered objections, however clear, will <u>ever convince</u> those who <u>refuse</u> to be convinced.

F-1. The Holy Grail Legends Are All Fiction

For anyone who accepts the Bible as true history, the <u>ark</u> is certainly NOT just <u>fiction</u>; the word "ark" is in the Bible <u>more than 200 times</u>—nearly 200 of which refer to the ark of the covenant. So if indeed the ark is the holy grail, or closely related to it, then certainly this <u>objection is patently false</u>! The exact <u>phrase</u> "holy grail" is <u>not</u> found in the Bible (KJV); but all of the <u>concepts are</u> clearly there—not only the <u>artifact</u>, but also Christ's bride and His <u>bloodline</u>, etc.

Most words have some degree of latitude, in their meaning; and sometimes, informal usage does not conform to stricter <u>definitions</u>. For example, the word "legend" is often understood to mean <u>fictional</u> mythology; however, more <u>accurately</u>, the word "legend" refers to unverified <u>historical fact</u>—which, over a long period of <u>time</u>, has become <u>mingled</u> with various <u>imaginary</u> ideas.

And this is <u>exactly</u> what we will find in this <u>grail series</u>: the Bible records <u>historical facts</u>, which are <u>similar</u> to the various grail <u>legends</u>—sometimes *shockingly* similar. Over the <u>centuries</u>, however, the grail romances, books, and movies have <u>accumulated</u> a lot of <u>fiction</u>. Especially for those who believe that the <u>Bible</u> is God's word: this is THE reliable <u>source</u>, for information that can <u>distinguish fact from fiction</u>. The Bible itself has <u>stunning documentation</u>, and clarity, about the <u>grail legends</u> (artifact and bloodline).


F-2. The Priory of Sion Was a Hoax

<u>Wikipedia</u>: "… Plantard further claimed the <u>Priory of Sion</u> was engaged in a centuries-long benevolent conspiracy to install a <u>secret bloodline</u> of the Merovingian dynasty on the thrones of <u>France</u> and the rest of Europe. To Plantard's surprise, all of his claims were <u>fused</u> with the notion of a <u>Jesus bloodline</u> and popularized by the authors of the 1982 speculative <u>nonfiction book</u> *The Holy Blood and the Holy Grail*, whose conclusions would later be borrowed by <u>Dan Brown</u> for his 2003 mystery thriller novel *The Da Vinci Code*. … Although Lincoln, Baigent and Leigh [authors of the above 1982 book, *The Holy Blood and the Holy Blood and the Holy Blood and the Holy Grail*] remain convinced that the pre-1956 history of the Priory of Sion is true, they confess to the possibility that all of <u>Plantard's claims</u> about a <u>post-1956 Priory of Sion</u> were part of an <u>elaborate hoax</u> …" (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Priory_of_Sion).

For all practical purposes, it <u>doesn't matter</u> whether the Priory of <u>Sion</u> was <u>true</u>, partly true, a <u>hoax</u>, or a double hoax (the claim of it being a hoax was itself a hoax, designed to stop the truth). In ALL cases: it <u>doesn't change</u> the reality of the <u>fact</u> that Jesus *literally* got <u>married</u>, and had <u>offspring</u>, etc.

Leonardo <u>da Vinci</u> was very talented, in several areas. His <u>paintings</u> are masterpieces of artwork, essentially <u>unrivaled</u>; yet those paintings do <u>not</u> necessarily depict <u>reliable</u> facts. For those who think that he was a Grand Master (Priory of Sion)—and/or that he coded the Magdalene grail concept, into his "Last Supper" painting—he was <u>not</u> a biblical <u>prophet</u>; so his <u>beliefs</u>, about the holy grail, could've been <u>wrong</u>.

It does appear, however, that Leonardo <u>did have Magdalene</u> in mind, when he painted "The Last Supper"; and this can be seen, in more than one way. Clearly, <u>all agree</u>, that the person on Christ's right side has a <u>feminine</u> appearance; some say that this was <u>common</u>, in various last supper paintings of the time—but even if that's true, it certainly <u>doesn't</u> mean that Leonardo was <u>not</u> thinking of <u>Magdalene</u>. Also, John the disciple probably would've been depicted as <u>leaning on Christ</u> (see John 13:23-25; 19:26; 20:1,2; 21:7,20-24; etc); yet Leonardo <u>painted</u> Magdalene <u>leaning far away</u> from Jesus, as if to underscore that this person is <u>not John</u>. Finally, Jesus and Magdalene were painted in <u>similar colors</u>, but <u>opposite</u> (left to right); also, their <u>arms touch</u> where the <u>colors are similar</u>. It is probable that this represents the two as a <u>romantic couple</u>; and the opposite colors represent <u>opposite genders</u> (see the picture, below).



F-3a. The Bible Does NOT Say that Jesus Was Married

Actually, the Bible <u>does</u> say that Jesus was <u>married</u>; it is stated in several ways, and in several places (see F-3b). However, the most <u>valuable</u> truths are <u>hidden</u> (but designed by God to be <u>found</u>, and known by ALL, <u>unlike</u> false elite <u>esoteric</u> secrecy); therefore, almost everyone has <u>missed</u> it, until the right time— which is <u>now</u> (see Proverbs 2:1-6; Daniel 12:4-10; Matthew 10:26,27; 11:25; 13:10-17,44-46; Colossians 2:2,3; Revelation 10:4; etc).

<u>Claim</u>: Mary is <u>never</u> called the "wife of Jesus" (neither in the Bible, nor in the apocryphal gospels).

<u>Answer</u>: Christ was crucified, shortly after the wedding; so there <u>wasn't much time</u> for the bride to be known publicly, as the "wife" of Jesus. Also, God intended this to be a <u>hidden</u> truth—so indeed, <u>no verse</u> says: "the wife of Jesus"; yet the <u>biblical evidence</u> for His marriage is <u>unmistakably clear</u>, once it's found.

Claim: Jesus needed to be an example of celibacy, for those who don't get married (and/or other reasons).

<u>Answer</u>: Jesus was <u>indeed</u> an example of <u>celibacy</u>, and moral purity—from His <u>childhood</u>, all the way up to the week of His <u>death</u>. But then Jesus DID get <u>married</u>; therefore, He was ALSO an <u>example</u> for those who DO get <u>married</u>—which includes <u>billions</u> of people! God was <u>wise</u> enough to plan things, so that Christ would be an example for BOTH <u>types</u> of people (the unmarried, <u>and</u> the married). Some say that Jesus was <u>married at Cana</u>, near the beginning of His ministry; but that marriage was actually a <u>different</u> couple, who walked with Jesus to <u>Emmaus</u> (see Luke 24:13-32; <u>https://7GRAILS.world/7-symbolic/sis</u>). The <u>marriage</u> <u>of Jesus</u> was at the <u>end</u> of His ministry, NOT the <u>beginning</u> (see I).

F-3b. Actually, the Bible DOES Say that Jesus Was Married

<u>Claim</u>: The idea that <u>Jesus got married</u> is based on <u>inferences</u>, from a couple of <u>Gnostic texts</u> (the gospels of Philip, and Mary); and even those texts <u>don't explicitly say</u>, that Jesus was married to anyone.

<u>Answer</u>: The very clear evidence, that Jesus got <u>married</u>, is indeed NOT found in the <u>Gnostic</u> texts (or similar ancient writings); <u>instead</u>, it is found in the <u>Bible</u>!!

Paul gave <u>himself</u> (*not* Jesus), as an <u>example</u> for the <u>unmarried</u> (a). <u>Christ</u> is the <u>author</u> of marriage, and He *created* <u>procreation</u> (b); it makes absolutely <u>no sense</u>, that Jesus <u>never</u> even experienced what <u>He</u> <u>Himself</u> *created*, blessed, honored, and pronounced "**very good**" (c). Why, <u>oh why</u>, would Jesus NOT get <u>married</u>? a - see 1 Corinthians 7; b - see John 1:1-3,14; etc; c - see Genesis 1:27,28,31; Proverbs 18:22; John 2:1,11; Hebrews 13:4; etc.

The <u>best biblical</u> evidence, that <u>Jesus</u> did indeed get <u>married</u>, is the Song of Songs (SOS); but it is so detailed, that it will take an <u>entire part</u> to cover it (see Part 1). The very next book, <u>Isaiah</u>, contains two different <u>passages</u> on this subject (see G). The book of <u>Matthew also</u> has two different passages, about <u>Christ's marriage</u> (see H & I). Finally, the <u>last book</u> of the Bible (Revelation) has a most <u>amazing</u> hidden prophecy, about the *literal* wife of Jesus; this will also take an <u>entire part</u> to cover (see Part 7).

If you <u>think</u> the <u>Bible</u> is a mere <u>human compilation</u>, please notice that <u>God</u> is in charge of the <u>canon</u>: which <u>books</u> were included, how many (OT and NT both agree, <u>66 total</u>), and even the <u>arrangement</u>. For example, <u>many think</u> that SOS (aka Song of Solomon) <u>doesn't</u> even <u>belong</u> in the Bible (see Part 1); but without SOS: the <u>best</u> evidence, for Christ's *literal* wedding, would be completely <u>missing</u>.

Also, in the 66-book canon: <u>SOS</u> is immediately before <u>Isaiah</u>—which is the second-best evidence, in the Old Testament (OT), for the *literal* marriage of Christ; and these <u>two</u> books are <u>beside each other</u>, representing the two parties <u>united in marriage</u> (SOS focus is on the bride, while Isaiah focus is on the Messiah / groom). The passages in the New Testament (NT), are quite the opposite (furthest apart): <u>Matthew</u> is the *first* NT book, and <u>Revelation</u> is located *last*; this represents <u>Jesus</u>—who is the <u>author</u> of <u>marriage</u>, and the author of the <u>Bible</u> (see Matthew 7:24; 24:35; Revelation 1:1,16; 19:10; 22:16; etc).

In fact, <u>Jesus</u> calls Himself "the **first** and the **last**"—and it's even in this very <u>same book</u>, Revelation (1:11,17; 2:8; 22:13; <u>see also the OT marriage book</u>, Isaiah 41:4; 44:6; 48:12). For those interested: there is clear <u>internal</u> evidence, that <u>Matthew</u> was the <u>first</u> NT book <u>written</u> (*not* Mark); and <u>Revelation</u> was unquestionably the <u>last</u> NT book <u>written</u>. Additionally, amazing chronology alignments prove, with <u>certainty</u>, that Revelation was <u>written</u> in September of <u>AD 96</u>—which is a slam-dunk debunk, of full preterism (see Part 3).

G. The Married Messiah in Isaiah

No doubt, some will say that these <u>Isaiah</u> passages are referring to <u>symbolic</u> marriage: Christ is the groom, His <u>church</u> is the <u>bride</u>; and they are <u>correct</u>. However, there is a very little-known <u>principle</u>, of Bible interpretation; and it will be shown <u>over and over and over</u>, in this grail series. When sincere Bible students disagree, over whether a passage is *literal* or <u>symbolic</u>, usually the correct answer is: <u>both</u>!!

This <u>principle</u> is very valuable, in many cases; including biblical <u>chronology</u>, when people are trying to <u>spiritualize</u> away very <u>clear</u> chronological statements—such as <u>480 years</u> (see 1 Kings 6:1). So you can pat them on the back, and tell them that they hit the nail on the head; yes, <u>indeed</u>, 480 years is certainly <u>symbolic</u>: but it is ALSO *LITERAL*!!!! And there goes the rug, right out from under the <u>sneaky</u> attempt to <u>do away</u> with the unmistakably clear—and <u>historically correct</u>—statements of biblical chronology. See the chronology grail studies: where all biblical events fit solidly, into <u>every chronological statement</u> in the entire <u>Bible</u> (as well as many other historical records, although some secular chronology is inaccurate).

Jesus taught <u>spiritual</u> lessons, through <u>parables</u>; but many don't realize that <u>most</u> of His parables, if not all, were also real *factual* <u>events</u>—including the ten virgins parable (see I). This means that <u>spiritual</u>

<u>lessons</u>, in the Bible, usually have a *literal* application; and *literal* events, in the Bible, usually have a <u>spiritual</u> application (see Galatians 4:21-31; Matthew 17:1-13; Malachi 4:5,6; etc). Therefore, <u>Jesus</u> is indeed a <u>symbolic</u> groom, husband, and Father; but He is ALSO a *literal* husband, and <u>Father</u>, etc.

G-1. The Messiah Is a *Literal* Human "Father" (Isaiah 9:6)

Isaiah 9:6 • "For unto us a **child** is **born**, unto us a **son** is given ... and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The **mighty God**, The **everlasting <u>Father</u>**, The Prince of **Peace**."

Here is a well-known <u>Messianic prophecy</u>; and the <u>words</u> of this verse were even used, in the lyrics of a very <u>popular song</u> (Handel's Messiah). The phrase "everlasting Father" might <u>seem</u> to be referring to Christ's <u>divinity</u>—especially coming right after the phrase "mighty God" (notice, though, that it <u>doesn't</u> say, "Almighty God"). But this actually shows God's <u>wisdom</u>, in His ability to <u>hide truth</u>.

We know that <u>Christ</u> is NOT the <u>Father</u>, in the divine <u>Godhead</u> (unless you believe Sabellianism, which this series will totally refute); <u>instead</u>, He is always referred to as the <u>Son</u>! So the word "Father" here actually refers to the <u>humanity</u> of Jesus: He became a Father, and He will <u>live forever</u>—just like all righteous humans; therefore, He is the "**everlasting Father**" (see Daniel 12:2; Matthew 19:29; 25:46; Luke 18:30; John 3:16,36; 4:14; 5:24; 6:27,40,47; 12:50; Romans 6:22; Galatians 6:8; 1 Timothy 1:16; etc).

Should anyone play the "<u>spiritual</u> father" card—all we have to do, is point out the above principle: Jesus is <u>BOTH</u> a <u>spiritual</u> Father, AND a *literal* Father!! In fact, the context is <u>primarily</u> about the *literal* application. Notice that Isaiah 9:6 <u>begins</u> with the Messiah's <u>birth</u>, when He became a *literal* "**child**" and a *literal* "**son**"! The verse <u>ends</u> with: "The everlasting **Father**, The Prince of **Peace**"; He became a Father (got His wife pregnant), shortly before He died—and then <u>last</u> of all, especially through His death, He became the ultimate "Prince of **Peace**" (see Ephesians 2:13-17; Colossians 1:18-22; 2:13,14; etc).

G-2. "He Shall See His Seed" (Isaiah 53:10)

One of the clearest OT <u>prophecies</u>—about the suffering, death, and resurrection of the <u>Messiah</u>—is quoted <u>below</u>. Notice that the Messiah is <u>rewarded</u>, in several ways, for fulfilling His mission of suffering and death. And the <u>very first</u> reward mentioned is: "he shall see his seed"!

Isaiah 53:9-12 • "And he made his **grave** with the wicked, and with the rich in his **death** ... Yet it pleased the LORD to **bruise him**; he hath **put him to grief** [suffering]: when thou shalt **make his soul an offering for sin** [His death, the sacrificed 'Lamb of God'], <u>he shall see his seed</u>, he shall **prolong his days** [after dying], and the pleasure of the LORD shall prosper in his hand. He shall **see of the travail of his soul**, and shall be **satisfied**: by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many; for he shall **bear their iniquities**. Therefore will I **divide him a portion** with the great, and he shall **divide the spoil** with the strong; **because he hath poured out his soul unto death**: and he was **numbered with the transgressors**; and he **bare the sin of many**, and made **intercession for the transgressors**."

Oh, but that is referring to His <u>spiritual seed</u>—right?? Well, um ... yes; but, once again, it's <u>BOTH</u> <u>spiritual</u> and *literal*!! Also, since the rewards are <u>after His death</u> and resurrection: in this context, it's <u>primarily</u> referring to His *literal* seed. Jesus certainly saw His <u>spiritual</u> seed, long <u>before any death</u> or resurrection! And <u>if indeed</u> He got married, at the <u>Cana wedding</u> (which was near the beginning of His ministry): He would've had <u>plenty of time</u>, before the cross, to <u>see the birth</u> of His *literal* offspring; but <u>instead</u>, He impregnated His wife (with twins), just days before He died. Therefore, again, <u>He saw</u> the birth and life of His *literal* seed—<u>after</u> His death, <u>resurrection</u>, and ascension.

H. Triumphal Entry: Two Riders (Queen and King)

Almost all Christians know about "Palm Sunday"; this was when <u>Jesus rode</u> on a <u>donkey</u>, towards Jerusalem (Triumphal Entry). But <u>nobody</u> has noticed the carefully <u>hidden</u> truth; there were two donkeys, and <u>two riders</u>: Christ the King, and His fiancée queen! The same truth is also in SOS (see Part 1).

This greatly emphasizes how extremely <u>bizarre</u> it was, when Jesus started <u>wailing</u> in sorrow (see Luke 19:41)—right in the middle of a procession, which <u>should've</u> been an extremely <u>happy</u> occasion! And this also brings into sharp focus the <u>devastation</u> of the disciples, just a few days later, when their King was <u>crucified</u>. WHY the <u>royal entry</u>, with the queen and King, and the <u>wedding</u>, etc—only to turn into such a <u>disaster</u>, almost immediately?? But it was all part of <u>God's great plan</u>; and it was all <u>prophesied</u>, in SOS.

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "The ceremonies and <u>festivities</u> accompanying a <u>formal entry</u> [see the picture, below] by a ruler or his/her representative into a city ... <u>in Europe</u> were known as the Royal Entry, <u>Triumphal Entry</u>, or Joyous Entry. ... Entry of [King] John II of France AND [Queen] Joan I of Auvergne into Paris after their coronation at Reims in 1350 ..." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Royal_entry</u>).



H-1. One Donkey, or Two?

The <u>Bible</u> is not the word of men, it is the <u>word of God</u>! And God designed His word to be like a <u>puzzle</u>; you have to search for the right <u>pieces</u>, and where they <u>fit</u> into the big picture (see Isaiah 28:7-14; 2 Samuel 16:1,2; etc). If you <u>think</u> that there is a <u>contradiction</u>: this is NOT proof of any <u>error</u>, in the Bible; instead, it is <u>proof</u> that you are trying to <u>force</u> the wrong puzzle <u>piece</u>, into the <u>wrong place</u>.

<u>Matthew</u> wrote about: "**two** possessed with devils" (8:28; see 20:30); but <u>Luke</u> and <u>Mark</u> mention only <u>one</u> demoniac, "a certain man [Luke]" (8:27; 5:2). Surely, this is a <u>contradiction</u>, say the <u>skeptics</u>. Has anyone ever considered, that these are <u>puzzle pieces</u>? Matthew is part of the picture (two); while Mark and <u>Luke</u> reveal a different puzzle piece (one). Is anyone good at <u>math</u>? Do the skeptics have a high-tech quantum computer, able to perform an <u>extremely complex calculation</u>—adding up the total number of demoniacs: <u>two</u> (mentioned in Matthew), <u>plus one</u> (mentioned in Mark & Luke), equals THREE!?!

Wait, what—<u>three</u> demoniacs??? Yes; and just like nearly everything else, there is a *literal* and a <u>symbolic</u> application. <u>Who</u>, then, is the <u>third symbolic</u> demoniac? It is YOU, dear reader; especially if you have unconquered demons—like the <u>demon of doubt</u>, tempting you to question the reliability of the

Bible (because Matthew mentioned both the father and the son, yet the other accounts mentioned only the father). And Jesus can <u>cast out your demons</u>, if you ask Him, like He did with the biblical demoniacs.

The <u>number of demoniacs</u> was planned by God, as an <u>example</u> of the Triumphal Entry. The very <u>same</u> thing, is in the very <u>same gospels</u>, regarding the number of <u>donkeys</u> (and blind at Jericho); <u>Matthew</u> mentions <u>two</u> (a), while the <u>other</u> gospels mention <u>one</u> (b). Does this mean that there were <u>three</u> donkeys? <u>Yes</u>; however, maybe you are starting to catch on, one of them is <u>symbolic</u>. What does a <u>symbolic donkey</u> represent? The above picture (royal entry into Paris) has <u>horses</u>, which represent <u>violent war</u>; but Jesus had nothing to do with violent insurrection—no, He came on a <u>donkey</u> as the "Prince of <u>Peace</u>" (c). a - see 21:1-7; 20:30; b - see Mark 11:1-7; 10:46; Luke 19:29-36; 18:35; John 12:14,15; c - Isaiah 9:6; see 1 Kings 1:33; Zechariah 9:9,10; etc.

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "... <u>donkeys</u> were portrayed in Biblical works as <u>symbols</u> of service, suffering, <u>peace</u> and humility. ... [Donkeys] are seen in a positive light through the story of <u>Jesus riding into Jerusalem</u> on a donkey." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cultural_references_to_donkeys</u>).

H-2. One Rider, or Two?

Zechariah 9:9 * "... your **King** is coming to you. He is righteous and victorious, yet he is humble, [the queen] **riding** on a donkey— [and the King] **riding** on a donkey's colt." (NLT; Genesis 49:10-12; etc).

This is an <u>OT prophecy</u>, about the Triumphal Entry. <u>Some say</u> that the last two phrases are <u>parallel</u>, referring to <u>only one donkey</u>; however, we just saw that there were <u>two literal</u> donkeys. And if two donkeys, then why not <u>two literal</u> RIDERS?? In fact, the verb "riding" applies to <u>riding the adult</u> donkey, AND <u>riding</u> the young one—as <u>correctly translated</u> above, in the NLT! And we know who rode which donkey, because the gospels say that Jesus rode on the <u>colt</u> (see Mark 11:7; Luke 19:35; John 12:14,15). Some readers might even be ahead of the game, by now, <u>wondering</u> if there was a <u>third symbolic</u> rider? The answer is <u>yes</u>; see if you can <u>figure out</u> the meaning, of the <u>symbolic</u> rider.

<u>Mark 11:1,4</u> \diamond "And when they come near to Jerusalem, to **Bethphage**, and **Bethany** [<u>TWO</u> cities], to the Mount of Olives, He sends forth <u>TWO</u> of his **disciples** ... And they went away, and found the colt tied at the door outside, by the <u>TWO</u> ways, and they loose it" (LSV).

So there were TWO cities, TWO disciples, TWO ways, TWO donkeys—perhaps, is there maybe the slightest chance, that <u>maybe just maybe</u> there were also <u>TWO RIDERS</u>?? Actually, once again, <u>Matthew</u> came through, revealing the fact that there were indeed <u>two literal RIDERS</u> (see H-3, next); just like he did with the two *literal* <u>demoniacs</u>, the two *literal* <u>blind men</u>, and the two *literal* <u>donkeys</u>.

H-3. Matthew's Carefully Hidden Truth (Two Riders)

<u>Matthew 21:2-7</u> • "... ye shall find an ass tied, and a colt <u>with her</u>: loose them [two donkeys], and bring them [two donkeys] unto me. ... say, The Lord hath need of them [two donkeys]; and straightway he will send them [two donkeys]. ... And brought the ass, <u>and the colt</u> [two donkeys], and put on them [two donkeys] their clothes, and they set *him* [singular—but in *italics* (KJV, NKJV, LSV, etc)] thereon."

Clearly, <u>Matthew</u> mentioned <u>two donkeys</u>; and clearly, Matthew said that the <u>clothes</u> were put on <u>both</u> donkeys—but WHY, if only <u>one rider</u>? Some have suggested that the <u>disciples</u> did this, because they <u>weren't sure which</u> one Jesus was going to <u>ride</u>. REALLY?? And they didn't even think to <u>ask Him</u>, when He was <u>right there</u> in front of them?!? Surely, it is <u>far more logical</u>, that they put clothes on both donkeys—because they <u>knew</u> that there were <u>two riders</u>!! Notice in the <u>Paris</u> entry picture (above), the <u>two</u> white royal <u>horses</u> are both <u>covered</u>; this is much like the two royal <u>donkeys</u>, with <u>clothes on both</u>.

Now notice the last phrase: "... and **they** set *him* thereon." In some <u>translations</u>, including KJV, the word "*him*" here is in *italics*; this means that the word is <u>not</u> actually in the <u>Greek</u> text (the translators *supplied* it). Several <u>other translators</u> rendered the phrase with the supplied <u>singular first</u>, and then the plural: "... and *he* [singular, but *supplied*] sat on them [plural]." (<u>https://biblehub.com/parallel/matthew/21-7.htm</u>).

Some say that this means: he (Jesus, singular) <u>sat</u> on them (<u>clothes</u>, plural). True, Jesus did sit on the clothes—<u>so what</u>? That's NOT the <u>important</u> meaning, nor does it fit the <u>context</u>; "them" is used half a dozen times, in context, ALL six referring to the <u>two donkeys</u>. It really <u>should've</u> been translated: and *THEY* (*supplied* <u>plural</u>) sat on <u>THEM</u>; in other words, *they* (queen and King) sat on them (two donkeys).

Yet the fact that <u>Matthew</u> left it somewhat <u>ambiguous</u>, in the Greek, is a dead giveaway: he was <u>intentionally</u> veiling a <u>hidden</u> truth (had he clearly written plural riders, it would've been too obvious). If you put the right <u>puzzle</u> pieces, in the <u>right places</u>, you see that the <u>Bible</u> is very <u>accurate</u>—even to the extent of <u>singular versus plural</u> (or left <u>unstated</u>, in some cases; especially <u>hidden</u> truths, like this case).

Several Bible commentaries have <u>recognized</u> the implication of <u>two riders</u>; but NOT understanding the <u>hidden</u> truth, they have concluded that Jesus <u>rode both</u> donkeys: "one after the other"—what?? WHY would Jesus be playing <u>musical chairs</u> (or musical donkeys), hopping from one donkey to another? Or <u>trick riding</u>, and straddling both at once, etc? Would such a <u>circus clown</u> act, have drawn <u>attention</u> to Jesus? Yes; but not the right kind of attention. Wouldn't the <u>queen and King</u>, riding on two donkeys, have drawn <u>far more attention</u>? Absolutely!! And a <u>much better</u> kind of attention, than a circus show. It would've <u>also</u> incited the unmitigated jealousy and hatred, of the existing rulers; so they <u>killed</u> Him, just a few days later (but it's rather <u>unlikely</u>, that they would've <u>killed</u> someone performing a circus <u>clown</u> act).

Scholars and skeptics alike, have <u>all missed</u> the <u>hidden</u> truth here ("Donkey-Gate"). <u>Skeptics</u> have even <u>mocked</u> the idea, with <u>silly pictures</u> of riding on <u>two animals at once</u> (see the examples, below); but GOD OUTSMARTED THE SKEPTICS, not the other way around (as with this, and ALL other cases). In fact, <u>why</u> do the skeptics <u>complain</u> about *Matthew*? He got it *right*: <u>two</u> donkeys, and two <u>riders</u>!!





H-4. Male and Female: Disciples, Donkeys, Clothes, and Riders

At this point, it should be relatively <u>easy</u> to put the <u>last pieces</u> in place—about the two donkeys, and the two riders. Jehovah carefully <u>hid</u> TWO <u>genders</u>, in <u>four</u> distinct categories: the two <u>disciples</u>, the two <u>donkeys</u>, the two types of <u>clothes</u>, and ultimately the two <u>riders</u> (King and queen).

The synoptic gospels <u>specifically</u> mention "two" disciples, in charge of the two donkeys (Matthew 21:1; Mark 11:1; Luke 19:29); one disciple was <u>male</u> (but not one of the 12 apostles), and the other was <u>female</u>. This female disciple was also <u>one</u> of the five wise <u>virgins</u> (see I-1); both of these two disciples are <u>named</u>, along with one foolish virgin, in the article about the <u>SOS wedding</u> (see Part 1). It is clear in the original <u>Greek</u>: that the colt, which the male King rode on, was a male donkey; and the mother of the colt, which the FEMALE queen rode on, quite obviously was a FEMALE donkey (aka jenny / jennet). Additionally, the male disciple <u>led</u> the male donkey, and the FEMALE disciple <u>led</u> the FEMALE donkey (see Part 1). Finally, the men put their clothes on the male donkey, and the women put their clothes on the FEMALE donkey; this fact is <u>stated specifically</u>, in SOS (again, see Part 1 for details).

I. The Ten Virgins Parable, It's Time to Awake

<u>Matthew 25:1-13</u> • "Then shall the kingdom of heaven be likened unto **ten virgins**, which took their lamps, and went forth to meet the bridegroom. And five of them were wise, and five were foolish. They that were foolish took their lamps, and took no oil with them: But the wise took oil in their vessels with their lamps. While the bridegroom tarried, they all slumbered and slept. <u>And at midnight there was a cry made, Behold, the bridegroom cometh</u>; go ye out to meet him. Then all those virgins arose, and trimmed their lamps. And the foolish said unto the wise, Give us of your oil; for our lamps are gone out. But the wise answered, saying, Not so; lest there be not enough for us and you: but go ye rather to them that sell, and buy for yourselves. And while they went to buy, the bridegroom came [to the hall]; and they that were ready went in with him to the marriage: and the door was shut. Afterward came also the other virgins, saying, Lord, Lord, open to us. But he answered and said, Verily I say unto you, I know you not. Watch therefore, for ye know neither the day nor the hour wherein the Son of man cometh."

I-1. Who Got Married, in the Ten Virgins Parable?

It's no coincidence that <u>Matthew</u>—the <u>only gospel</u> with two donkeys, and <u>two riders</u>—is <u>also the</u> <u>only</u> gospel, with the <u>ten virgins</u> parable. This is to show the simple fact that the <u>queen and King</u> (riding the donkeys) were the same ones who <u>got married</u>, in the ten virgins parable. Furthermore, the young virgin—who <u>led the queen</u> on the mother donkey—was <u>one</u> of the <u>five wise</u> (plus the "four" below).

Acts 21:8,9 • "... Philip the evangelist ... Now this man had <u>four virgin</u> daughters who prophesied [so they were godly, wise virgins, <u>not foolish</u> virgins]." (NKJV; see 2:14-18; Psalm 45).

These <u>four</u> wise virgins are mentioned, in order to <u>show</u> that the <u>ten virgins</u> parable was <u>more</u> than just a <u>spiritual</u> lesson; it was <u>also</u> a *literal* historical event (like the other parables). The context, of Acts 21, is <u>decades</u> later; but these daughters may have chosen to <u>remain unmarried</u>, for most or all of their lives—and there is some <u>historical support</u> for this (see *Pulpit Commentary* on Acts 21:9). Also, the statement is made <u>out of the blue</u>, without any further explanation or details; therefore, once again, the main <u>point</u> is to show the <u>connection</u>, with the <u>ten virgins parable</u> (puzzle pieces).

SOS has the <u>clearest</u> evidence—not only that <u>Jesus got married</u>, but many other specific details; for example: the bride, the chronology, the location, and the virgins, etc. Ever since He told this parable, Christians have understood that <u>Jesus</u> is the <u>groom</u>; but everyone applied it <u>symbolically</u>, and <u>missed</u> the *literal* application. <u>Jesus</u> was the *literal* bridegroom (see Mark 2:18-20; John 3:29; etc). Once you realize this, it's easy to understand why He tarried until <u>midnight</u>: He wanted to create the <u>spiritual</u> lesson, and He had a time <u>alignment</u> purpose (for waiting until midnight). Also, Jesus was <u>busy</u> gathering <u>guests</u> (see Part 1).

I-2. Crying Wolf, Without the Credentials

Speaking of <u>midnight</u>: this is precisely the <u>moral condition</u> of the world, right now—<u>exactly</u> as the parable <u>predicted</u>. And this parable was designed in such a way, that <u>this very message</u> (about the *literal* wedding) is one and the <u>same</u> thing—as the <u>cry that awakens</u> the sleeping virgins (in the <u>symbolic</u> application). In other words, NOW is the time to wake up! "Behold, the **bridegroom cometh** ..."

Oh, but wait: people have been <u>claiming the end</u> of the world, and Jesus coming soon, for <u>centuries</u>. Yes, but even <u>if someone cries wolf</u> (false alarm) a hundred times—this <u>doesn't</u> mean that the <u>real</u> wolf will <u>never come</u>! This <u>ten virgins parable</u> even predicts a <u>long delay</u>; then a <u>wake-up</u> call, just before Jesus returns (see <u>Matthew 25:5,6,19</u>). Also, <u>prior</u> to this grail series, <u>nobody</u> had the <u>biblical credentials</u>: Christ's *literal* <u>marriage</u> (in this parable, and in SOS); plus His <u>true birth date</u> (see K-1), and so much more.

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "A 2010 survey showed that <u>about 40%</u> of Americans believe that <u>Jesus</u> is likely to <u>return by</u> <u>2050</u>." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Coming</u>).

At the time of Christ's <u>first advent</u>: many Jews <u>recognized</u> that the <u>time</u> was right, for the <u>Messiah to</u> <u>come</u>. Even so, many <u>Christians today</u> believe that the <u>time is right</u>, for the Messiah's <u>second coming</u> (see the above quote). Recently, there has been a <u>growing number</u> of videos, books, and sermons—all giving the message that Jesus is <u>about to come</u>! Especially after this <u>grail series</u>, the 40% (quoted above) will surely be much <u>higher</u> (and the 2050 year, will be a decade or two sooner).

I-3. Two Thousand Years Later, Right on Time

This is published at 9:36, 3-6-25; Jesus began His public ministry, nearly 2,000 years ago. There is prophecy that Jesus will return, after "two days" or 2,000 years (see 2 Peter 3:8); and then the resurrection is on the "third day"—the millennium (Hosea 6:1-3; see Luke 13:31-35). Why was this truth, about the ten virgins parable, NOT understood for 2,000 years? Because God knew and guided the best timing: for this truth to be understood, and published. And if you add about 4,000 years, from the OT, the total is around 6,000.

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "The <u>Millennial day theory</u> ... a theory in Christian eschatology in which the Second Coming of Christ will occur <u>6,000 years after</u> the creation of mankind, followed by 1,000 years [the millennium, of Revelation 20] ... The view takes the stance that <u>each millennium is actually a day</u> according to God (as found in Psalm 90:4 and 2 Peter 3:8 [1 day <u>represents</u> 1,000 years]), and that eventually at the <u>end of</u> the 6,000 years since the creation, <u>Jesus will return</u>. ... The main support for this view is found in ... the original Sabbath system ..." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Millennial_Day_Theory</u>).

In the <u>chronology grail</u> studies (see K-1): there are <u>exact dates</u> (year, month, and day)—with far more evidence and clarity, than ever before—for the <u>creation</u>, flood, and exodus, etc (as well as birth and/or death dates, for many of the OT characters). Bottom line: the <u>Bible</u> has clear and <u>unbroken</u> chronology, from <u>Adam until today</u>; and this removes all uncertainty, regarding the total of about <u>6,000 years</u>.

And there are <u>other ways</u>, to show the <u>4,000 plus 2,000</u> years. The OT <u>festival</u> system was designed by God, to illustrate the major events related to the <u>first and second advents</u>; the <u>spring</u> festivals illustrate His <u>first</u> advent (Passover, Pentecost), and the <u>fall</u> festivals illustrate His <u>second</u> advent (see Leviticus 23; etc). There were about <u>1,500 years</u>: from when God <u>gave</u> the festival system, until the <u>first advent</u> (spring festivals); then <u>another 2,000</u> years, until the <u>second advent</u>, is shown by the <u>four-month gap</u> between the spring and fall festivals (6,000 years / 12 months in a year = 500 years per month, x 4 months = <u>2,000</u>).

And there is a <u>3,000-year</u> prophecy, starting when <u>Solomon</u> was a youth (~980 BC); this one is backed up by <u>three amazing alignments</u> (see Part 1, D-1 & 4n). Also, there are some <u>time prophecies</u> in <u>Daniel</u> and <u>Revelation</u> (1260, 1290, 1335, etc). Preterism and futurism claim that they refer to *literal* <u>days</u>, while historicism says that they are <u>symbolic</u>—and each <u>day represents a year</u> (see <u>Revelation 11:2,3,9,11; 12:6,14; 13:5</u>). Does anyone <u>remember</u> the right answer? Yes, the answer is: they are <u>BOTH literal</u> and <u>symbolic</u>!! And there are several hidden "Easter egg" verifications, for the start and end of these time prophecies—some of which are <u>more than a thousand years</u> long! And there goes, <u>out the window</u>, the false idea that Christ's <u>second coming</u> would be in the <u>first century</u> (see I-4). Also, with or without any time prophecies, the following <u>Daniel</u> passage clearly points to <u>our time</u> (certainly NOT the <u>first century</u>).

Daniel 12:4,9,10 • "But thou, O Daniel, shut up the words, and seal the book, even to the time of the end: many shall **run to and fro** [world travel, etc], and <u>knowledge shall be increased</u>. ... And he said, Go thy way, Daniel: for the words are closed up and sealed till the time of the end. ... the wicked shall do wickedly: and none NONE of the wicked shall understand; but <u>the wise shall understand</u>."

We are <u>indeed</u> living in a time, when <u>knowledge</u> is rapidly <u>increasing</u>—*faster* than at <u>any time in</u> <u>history</u>! And this knowledge is <u>not merely</u> secular science, and <u>technologies</u>, etc; it is <u>also</u> referring to rapidly advancing knowledge, of the <u>hidden</u> (sealed) subjects in the <u>Bible</u>. When that happens, and it has, then we may <u>know</u> that the "time of the end" is <u>here</u>; and Jesus is certainly <u>coming</u>, very <u>soon</u>!!

As a matter of fact: this year (2025) is the <u>perfect year</u>, for the start of this message (the wake-up call, in the ten virgins parable, etc); this is because 2025 is the <u>70th Jubilee</u> (according to the <u>original</u> and biblical Jubilee system). Additionally, 2025 is a <u>man-made</u> Jubilee (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2025_Jubilee</u>).

The Bible <u>doesn't</u> directly say that the <u>end</u> would come, at the <u>70th Jubilee</u> (490 sabbaticals); yet 70 is 10 times 7—which is the <u>most important</u> number, in the Bible (a)! Also, 70 itself is important (b). The math, for the <u>biblical</u> Jubilee, is actually rather <u>simple</u>: 49 x 70 = 3430; minus 2025 (the current year) = 1405. This calculation, alone, is accurate within <u>plus or minus one year</u>; finer details, to get the exact year, include: understanding the missing <u>year zero</u> (between BC and AD), and <u>when</u> the Jubilee <u>started</u> (in the spring, or in the fall). a - see Genesis to Revelation; b - see Psalm 90:10-12; Jeremiah 25:1-38; 29:10-14; Daniel 9:2,24; etc.

The Jubilee cycle did <u>not start</u>, with the <u>exodus</u>—because Israel owned <u>no land</u>, during the 40 years in the <u>wilderness</u> (see Leviticus 25:1-55; etc); so the cycle <u>started</u>, when Israel entered the <u>promised land</u> (see Joshua 4:19; 5:10-12). The 480 years is <u>symbolic</u> and *literal* (see G-0); so this <u>guarantees an early date</u>, for the exodus—and <u>1446</u> BC is already widely accepted, for the early exodus date. So 40 years later, the <u>Jubilee</u> cycle began about 1406 BC (plus or minus a year); compare the above: $49 \times 70 = 3430 - 2025 = 1405$ BC.

I-4. Is Jesus Two Thousand Years Late?

<u>NOTE</u>: Similar to <u>skepticism</u>, "full preterism" claims that Jesus said His <u>second coming</u> would be in the <u>first century</u>. This idea will be <u>refuted</u>, over and over again, in the grail series (see 2 Peter 3:1-18).

John 21:21-23 • "Peter seeing him [John] saith to Jesus, Lord, and what shall this man do? Jesus saith unto him, If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee? follow thou me. Then went this saying abroad among the brethren, that that disciple should not die: yet Jesus said NOT unto him, He shall not die; but, If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee?"

It is interesting that Jesus DID return to earth, more than once, in the <u>first century</u>. Jesus <u>personally</u> appeared to <u>Paul</u>, at Damascus (a); and to John, at Patmos (b). At that time, John also saw the <u>glorious</u> second coming of Jesus, in <u>vision</u>. Some question the statements in Revelation: "I come **quickly**" (c); but prophecy is <u>often</u> written from a <u>future perspective</u>—the time when major prophesied events, are being fulfilled (our time). It's <u>careless</u> to assume that the <u>timing</u> is always based, on the date <u>when</u> the statement was <u>written</u>. a - see Acts 9:1-8; 26:12-20; 27:22-25; 1 Corinthians 15:8; b - see Revelation 1:9-18; c - 3:11; 22:7,12,20; see 12:6,12; etc.

Matthew 10:23 • "Ye shall not have ... [preached to] the cities of Israel, till the Son of man be come."

As noted above, Jesus <u>did come</u> in the <u>first century</u>; and in this application, the word "Israel" refers to *literal* Israel. However, maybe it's starting to sink in: there is <u>also</u> a <u>symbolic</u> application, about <u>spiritual</u> Israel—preaching to the <u>Gentiles</u>, all over the <u>entire planet</u> (a). This has <u>never</u> yet happened; but with the help of modern <u>rapid</u> communication methods, it will <u>soon</u> be <u>fulfilled</u>. "And **this gospel** of the **kingdom** shall be **preached** in <u>all the world</u> for a witness <u>unto all nations</u>; and then shall the end **come**." (b). a - see Romans 2:25-29; 9:6-8; 10:12; 11:11-26; 1 Corinthians 10:18; Galatians 3:28,29; etc; b - Matthew 24:14.

<u>Matthew 16:28</u> • "I can guarantee ... Some people who are standing here will not die <u>until</u> they see the Son of Man coming in his kingdom." (GWT; see 12:28; Luke 9:27; 11:20; 16:16; 17:20-25; 2:26-29).

Does this verse mean that Jesus was supposed to <u>come</u>, about <u>2,000 years ago</u>? This quote appears in all three of the synoptic gospels; and in <u>all three</u> cases, the <u>Transfiguration</u> (which was <u>symbolic</u> of His advent, and eternal kingdom) is recorded <u>immediately after</u> it (a). Notice it says that they would "not die <u>until</u> ..."; there were <u>some</u> present (Peter, James, and John)—who <u>saw</u> the Transfiguration, and <u>died later</u>, as Jesus said. But if Jesus was <u>only</u> talking about His <u>second advent</u>, then He would've said that they would <u>never die at all</u>—since everyone saved, in His eternal kingdom, will be <u>immortal</u> and never die (b). a - ee Matthew 17:1-13; Mark 9:1-13; Luke 9:27-36; b - see Matthew 19:16; 25:46; John 3:15,16; 4:36; 6:51,54,58, 68; 8:52; 10:28; 11:26; 12:25; 17:2,3; 1 Corinthians 15:1-58; 1 Timothy 6:12,19; 2 Timothy 1:10; etc.

It's also true, as usual, that this statement has <u>more than one application</u>. There were at least two <u>people standing</u> there, who would never die at any future time; so clearly <u>they</u> would <u>not die</u>, before the *literal* second <u>coming</u>—which was indeed <u>mentioned</u>, in the context (see Matthew 16:27,28; Mark 8:38; Luke 9:26). Moses and Elijah were <u>present</u> (see Matthew 16:14,28; 17:1-13); these <u>two men</u> were also at the <u>Transfiguration</u>, a few days later. Last but not least: the <u>exact date</u> chronology shows—with laser-focused accuracy—that Jesus was <u>positively</u> referring to His <u>Transfiguration</u>, AND referring to His second <u>advent</u>; furthermore, this chronology shows that His advent would be <u>about 2,000 years later</u> (<u>https://TGRAILS.world/7-symbolic/chr/</u>).

Matthew 24:34 • "Verily I say unto you, This generation shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled."

Did Jesus say He would <u>come</u> again, before <u>that generation</u> died away? It is widely recognized, that this chapter has a <u>dual</u> application; the first was fulfilled in <u>AD 70</u>, about 40 years later, which <u>indeed</u> was within <u>that current</u> generation. The <u>other</u> application, at the end of time, refers to the <u>generation</u> who would <u>see</u> all the signs <u>fulfilled</u>, *literally* (not merely symbolic signs, such as in AD 70). It is "**this**" final generation, <u>alive now</u>, which would <u>not pass</u>—before the ultimate fulfillment, of the Olivet Discourse.

<u>1 Thessalonians 4:15</u> ♦ "For this <u>we</u> say ... that <u>WE</u> which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord ..." (see 1:9 to 3:13; <u>5:1-23; Acts 1:6.7;</u> 1 Corinthians 7:26-31; 15:51-58; 2 Corinthians 11:20-33; etc).

Paul used the word "we" (above), and he also warned of <u>imminent persecution</u>; so some thought that <u>he expected to live</u>, until the <u>second coming</u>. However, Paul himself <u>rebuked</u> this idea, in his next letter to the <u>same church</u> (see 2 Thessalonians 2:1-15). Paul merely <u>used himself to represent</u> the faithful (living at the end of time); this is a <u>common</u> literary device, used both in and out of the Bible. <u>Critics</u> go so far, as to claim that 2 Thessalonians was <u>not</u> even <u>written by Paul</u>—in order to bolster their <u>false</u> idea, that the <u>apostles</u> were <u>wrong</u> (about Christ's return). As mentioned already, <u>God arranged the canon</u>: which books were included, how many (66), and even in <u>what order</u> (see Part 1); the primary reason why <u>Jude</u> was included in the Bible, is to <u>prove this fact</u> (for details on this, and much more about the canon, <u>see Babel Skeptics</u>).

There is NO <u>biblical evidence</u>, that the coming of <u>Jesus</u>—in power and <u>glory</u>—is two thousand years <u>late</u>; there is NO evidence that <u>Jesus</u> was a <u>false prophet</u>, who predicted His advent long ago. The <u>critics</u> may claim this; but they can <u>only do so</u>, by going through the Bible <u>carelessly</u>. Quite the opposite: the <u>biblical</u> evidence weighs <u>very heavily</u>, in favor of about <u>2,000 years between</u> His two advents; and that <u>time</u> is right <u>now</u>! "Ready or not, here I come!!" NO amount of arguments, doubt, opposition, mockery, hatred, or violence, etc—can <u>stop</u> Jesus from coming, <u>exactly</u> when He <u>decides</u> to come (see Habakkuk 2:2-4; Hebrews 10:34-39). The <u>question</u> is NOT: <u>will</u> He come? No, the <u>only</u> question is: Are YOU ready??

Luke 21:27,28 • "... the **Son of man coming in a cloud with <u>power and great glory</u>**. And when these things **begin** to **come to pass**, then look up, and **lift up your heads**; for your **redemption draweth nigh**."

J. Literal Grail Acronym: H.O.L.Y. G.R.A.I.L.S.

Н	=	Hidden
0	=	Outstanding
L	=	Legendary
Y	=	Yonder
G	=	Goblet (Greal)
R	=	Royal (Real)
A	=	Ancient
	=	Important
L	=	Lifeblood (Sang)
S	=	Sacred (San)

This acronym has <u>ten</u> points: all ten are designed to help <u>distinguish</u> the true *literal* holy grails, from all the fakes. There are countless <u>counterfeit claims</u>—especially regarding artifacts, which are supposedly the holy grail; so <u>how</u> can we distinguish the <u>real</u>, from all the <u>fake</u> ones?

J-1. Hidden, Outstanding, Legendary, Yonder

A true holy grail <u>must</u> be something that is (or was) <u>hidden</u>; it's something that many have searched for, and it is <u>highly sought</u> after, etc. Something may qualify under many of the other nine categories; but if it was <u>not hidden</u>, not searched for (and not found)—then it <u>doesn't qualify</u>.

Like the <u>farmer</u>, who is "<u>out-standing</u> in his field": he <u>stands out</u>, clearly and <u>distinctly</u>, from his flat farm <u>field</u> around him (where he is <u>out</u> there, <u>standing</u> upright); and he is <u>also excellent</u> (<u>outstanding</u>), in his <u>trade</u> (his field). Any genuine holy <u>grail</u> will also <u>stand out</u>, as excellent and <u>superior</u>, to all the fakes; it will be unique, distinct, and <u>different</u> from all the <u>traditional</u> grail accounts.

In spite of some distinct differences: the true grails will <u>also</u> have some <u>shocking similarities</u>, to the traditional grail <u>legends</u>. Once again, <u>legendary</u> refers to historical <u>fact</u>—although unverified, and/or fact that is <u>mingled</u> with various <u>imaginary</u> ideas (see F-1).

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "The claims frequently describe Jesus as having <u>married</u>, often to Mary <u>Magdalene</u>, and as having <u>descendants</u> living in Europe, especially <u>France</u> [which is <u>also</u> a common location, for <u>artifact</u> grail legends] but also the UK." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesus_bloodline</u>).

The above quote relates to both legendary and yonder (the last two, here in J-1). It is a historical <u>fact</u> that Jesus got <u>married</u>, and He also had <u>offspring</u>. But the <u>fictional</u> grail romances usually have <u>false</u> <u>locations</u>: such as France, and the UK, etc. The <u>Bible</u> gives <u>no such locations</u>—not for the artifact, or the ark, or the bloodline; these locations come <u>strictly</u> from sources, which are <u>outside</u> of the <u>Bible</u>.

It doesn't matter <u>how hard</u> you <u>look</u> for something; if you are looking in the <u>wrong places</u>, you will <u>never find</u> it. And that is <u>exactly</u> the case with the true grail <u>artifact</u>, the true <u>ark</u>, and the true <u>bloodline</u>: people have been <u>looking</u> in all the <u>wrong places</u>, for centuries; therefore, they have <u>found</u> nothing but <u>counterfeits</u>. If you want to find the <u>real</u> holy grails, you will need to <u>look in another place</u>—over yonder!

J-2. Goblet, Royal, Ancient, Important, Lifeblood, Sacred

The word "grail" (also spelled greal, graal) has been <u>defined</u> variously as a <u>goblet</u>, cup, chalice, bowl, dish, platter, etc. This can be *literal*, for the artifact; and <u>symbolic</u>, for Christ's bride (her <u>womb</u>).

Jesus Christ is the "**King** of kings"—so He is <u>certainly</u> as <u>royal</u> as anyone (Revelation 17:14; 19:16; see Matthew 2:2; 27:11,29,37; etc). This means that His <u>family</u> is also <u>royal</u>: His bride, His son, and His daughter, etc.

Any genuine *literal* holy grail, must go back <u>at least to NT times</u>. And the ark, for example, goes clear back to OT times. Anything, therefore, that is NOT <u>ancient</u>, <u>fails</u> to qualify as a true *literal* grail. Additionally, the word <u>ancient</u> has to do with <u>time</u>; and there is one specific calendar date, which is found in <u>all seven</u> of the *literal* grail truths (although some are anniversaries, not all in the same year). This fact, alone, is an extremely powerful method—to <u>distinguish</u> the genuine grails, from <u>all the counterfeits</u>.

It probably goes without saying: any <u>genuine</u> holy grail is certainly very <u>important</u>. It is valuable, intellectually and/or physically. This is exactly <u>why</u> people <u>search</u> for holy grails—*literal* or <u>symbolic</u>— because of their very <u>high value</u>.

In French, the word "sang" <u>means</u> blood. Speaking of value, <u>Christ's</u> blood is of <u>infinite value</u>—especially His own lifeblood (but His children, the royal bloodline, are also very valuable). The Bible has <u>many</u> verses, about the "blood of Jesus" (1 Peter 1:2,19; 1 John 1:7; see Mark 14:22-24; John 6:53-56; Romans 3:24,25; 5:9; 1 Corinthians 10:16,17; 11:20-27; Ephesians 1;7; 2:13; Hebrews 9:12-14; 10:19; 13:12,20; Revelation 1:5; etc).

About 140 times, in the <u>Bible</u>, the word "sanctuary" appears; and it refers to a <u>sacred</u> location (often the wilderness tabernacle, or the temple). The first three letters, of *sanctuary*, are "san"—which means "holy" (*san* greal means *holy* grail). So the <u>truth</u>, about any holy <u>grail</u>, must be found in <u>sacred scripture</u> (otherwise, it is fake). Furthermore, the last letter of this acronym (S), can be doubled or even <u>tripled</u>: <u>Sacred</u>, Scriptural, and Supernatural. <u>None</u> of the <u>fake</u> grails are genuinely holy (<u>Sacred</u>), or biblical (Scriptural), or miraculous (Supernatural); all of the <u>true</u> *literal* grails have <u>all three</u>—including miracles, such as the ark of the covenant (see Joshua 3:15-17; 4:18; 1 Samuel 5 & 6; 2 Samuel 6; etc).

K. Further Validation from Symbolic Grails

<u>*Wikipedia*</u>: "By analogy, any <u>elusive</u> object or goal of <u>great significance</u> may be perceived as a <u>[symbolic]</u> 'holy grail' by those <u>seeking</u> such ['Holy Grail ... an object or goal that is sought after for its great significance']." (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holy_Grail</u>; <u>https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Holy%20Grail</u>).

In addition to the *literal* grail series, there will be <u>other articles</u>—which will provide <u>further</u> support and <u>documentation</u>, for the <u>validity</u> of the *literal* grails. For example, chronology and geography studies, which document the <u>exact dates and locations</u>—of the major events leading up to, and culminating in, Christ's *literal* wedding. These additional studies <u>don't</u> fully qualify as *literal* grails (as defined in section J, above); yet they are hidden truths, of great significance, so they DO qualify as <u>symbolic</u> grails.

These <u>literal</u> and <u>symbolic</u> grail studies will defend the <u>reality</u> of Christ's genealogy, <u>virgin</u> birth, life, <u>marriage</u>, death, and <u>bodily resurrection</u> (of His dead corpse)—like <u>never before</u>! The chronology, archaeology, science, and other details will show—beyond any reasonable dispute—that all these events are <u>actual</u>, <u>factual</u>, history. The Bible even <u>predicts</u> a time, when truth will be so <u>irrefutably</u> clear: that all arguments against it, will be <u>silenced</u> (see Proverbs 4:18,19; Isaiah 60:1-5; Daniel 12:4,9,10; Titus 1:9-11; Revelation 18:1; etc).

<u>Romans 3:19</u> • "Now we **know** that what things soever the **law** saith, it saith to them who are **under the law**: that **every <u>mouth</u>** may be **<u>stopped</u>**, and **<u>all the world</u>** may become **guilty** before God."

K-1. The Holy Grail of Chronology

For many biblical events, the <u>chronology</u> could qualify as <u>symbolic</u> holy <u>grails</u> (important, <u>hidden</u>, searched, etc). Nevertheless, <u>two or three</u> events are usually considered primary: the birth, death, and resurrection of Jesus; and His <u>birth</u> date is the <u>most valuable</u>. It could be considered as an "ace up the sleeve"; it was <u>planned</u> by God, for <u>our time</u>—to prove that Jesus is the Messiah, and the <u>Bible is true</u>!

The most <u>commonly</u> observed date, for the <u>birth</u> of Christ, is <u>December 25</u>; some scholars do defend this traditional date, but <u>many</u> others question or <u>reject</u> its validity. Various <u>alternate dates</u> have also been proposed; for example, around <u>September 12</u> (3 BC). This timing does have an interesting <u>astronomy</u> <u>alignment</u> (Virgo); and it also has a Jewish <u>festival</u> alignment (Trumpets, Rosh Hashanah).

The <u>true</u> date, however, has a <u>much better astronomy</u> alignment (which puts the <u>focus on Jesus</u>, rather than on Mary); and it <u>also</u> has a much better <u>festival</u> alignment (which perfectly fits the sequence flow, of type and antitype). The true date also includes the <u>exact minute</u> of birth; and this exact timing is mentioned <u>repeatedly</u>, in the <u>Bible</u>, so it's essentially <u>irrefutable</u>. Wait—doesn't birth take <u>more</u> than <u>one</u> <u>minute</u>? Yes; but God foresaw this objection, and provided the <u>answer</u>: when <u>any part</u> of the body comes out, that <u>moment</u> qualifies as the <u>birth</u> (see Genesis 38:27-30).

There are <u>seven</u> biblical alignment <u>methods</u>, for finding <u>dates</u> of important events: <u>YES FAWN</u>; Years, Evenings, Statements; Festivals, Astronomy, Weekdays, Numbers (<u>https://7GRAILS.world/7-symbolic/chr/</u>). Astronomy alignments should <u>not</u> be confused with <u>astrology</u>, and *horror*-scope (pun intended). In some cases, there are <u>hidden</u> "Easter eggs"—which serve to lock in a <u>specific</u> date, with stunning <u>certainty</u> (for example: the 1005 songs, see Part 1). Those who <u>don't</u> know and use all of these <u>alignment</u> methods, are <u>not</u> likely to get any <u>date correct</u> (maybe not even the right year, much less the exact month and day).

K-2. ARCHaeology Acronym: M.E.E.T. a.t. G.R.A.V.E.Y.A.R.D.S.

Just as the traditional <u>date</u> is <u>wrong</u>, for Christ's <u>birth</u> (not December 25): the traditional <u>location</u> is also <u>wrong</u> (it was not in a cave, but it was in Bethlehem). And in addition to publishing, for the <u>first time</u> <u>ever</u>, the correct birth <u>date</u>: the <u>symbolic</u> grail studies will also include the <u>correct location</u>, for His place of birth (as well as the dates and locations for other details, in the Nativity narrative).

This will include the <u>city</u>, from which the <u>wise men</u> came; and the exact day when they left, as well as the <u>day</u> when they <u>arrived</u>—even the <u>route</u> that they took! Plus, it will include what <u>star</u> they saw, and <u>why</u> it was named the star "of **Jacob**" (Numbers 24:17); it could've been named the star of Adam, Enoch, Noah, Abraham, Isaac, David, etc—or just plain a "star" (see Revelation 22:16). Why was it named, in Bible prophecy, the star of "JACOB"? The answer is stunning, and leaves no doubt about <u>where</u> the wise men came from (archaeology grail); it even answers the <u>strange</u> question, about the quantity of wise men.

Did <u>Mary</u> get pregnant the normal way, or was she still a <u>virgin</u>? Is the <u>enrollment</u>, mentioned by Luke, a mistake—or historically <u>accurate</u>? Why did Joseph and Mary need to <u>go to Bethlehem</u>? When did they flee to <u>Egypt</u>, and come back? When did <u>Herod</u> the Great <u>die</u> (not merely the year, but even the exact day)? All these questions—and <u>many more</u>—will be answered very clearly, in the grail studies.

Similar to the alignment methods, for chronology: there are <u>sixteen</u> methods, for <u>ARCH</u>aeology (to help find the true <u>locations</u>, of biblical events). The acronym stands for the following words: M = Marker, E = East, E = Elevation, T = Two (or Three); a = Access, t = Treasures; G = Graves (<u>Ruins</u>, <u>Citizens</u>), R = Resources, A = Audio, V = Views, E = Enemies, Y = Years, A = Artifacts (Relics), R = Read (<u>History</u>), D = Distance, S = Spirit. The *italicized* words are the four main <u>categories</u>, of <u>ARCH</u>aeological <u>research</u> and <u>discovery</u>. "Seventy percent of all archaeology is done in the <u>library</u> [research, history]." (Indiana Jones and The Last Crusade).

Further <u>details</u>, about these discovery <u>methods</u>, are included in the <u>introduction</u> to archaeology (a). Those who <u>don't understand</u> and use all these methods, are likely to <u>accept</u> some <u>wrong</u> sites (such as the traditional birthplace of Christ). About <u>half</u>, of the traditional <u>sites</u> in Israel, are <u>incorrect</u>—especially the ones in and near Jerusalem. There are several reasons for this; many locations were lost, when <u>Christians</u> <u>fled Jerusalem</u> (and it was demolished, shortly after, in AD 70). No, we <u>can't trust heathens</u>, Hadrian, or Helena—who made claims about various sites; the <u>Bible</u> is the <u>reliable source</u> for finding true sites, not any human: "… <u>Helena</u> was converted to Christianity <u>by Constantine</u> [who was not a godly man] …" (b). a - <u>https://7GRAILS.world/7-symbolic/arch/lakes/; b - Daniel 11:27-30; https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Helena, mother_of Constantine_I</u>

L. The Conclusion to the Introduction

Every subject, in these grail articles, can be documented with an <u>abundance</u> of additional <u>evidence</u>. It's <u>impossible to build</u> a palace, with nothing other than <u>one nail</u>; so please be <u>patient</u>, because sooner or later: <u>extensive</u> additional documentation will be <u>published</u>. Those who might have <u>knee-jerk</u> reactions, at the start—will probably have all of their <u>questions</u> and objections <u>answered</u>, by the end. For the <u>latest</u> questions, answers, studies, travel schedule, and updates, please check the website (<u>https://7GRAILS.world/</u>).

L-1. How to Be Ready: J.U.S.T.

Here is the <u>most important question</u> of all: Jesus is coming very soon, how can YOU be <u>ready</u>? And <u>how soon</u>, will He come? Without setting a day and hour, it can be said with great certainty: the second coming of Jesus will be somewhere between a <u>few months</u>, to a <u>few years</u> from now—but definitely NOT a few <u>decades</u>. The ten virgins <u>parable</u> clearly shows a <u>very short time</u>, to get ready: too short, for some.

Many people are expecting certain <u>events</u> to occur, and certain <u>time prophecies</u> to be fulfilled, <u>before</u> Jesus can <u>come again</u>; but beware, this is the <u>very same mistake</u> that many made, at His <u>first</u> coming (a). Some prophecies will NEVER be fulfilled, because they are <u>conditional</u> (b). a - see Matthew 17:3-13; 24:4,11,20-24,42-44; Mark 9:4-13; 13:18-20; Luke 12:35-46; 19:42-44; Romans 9:28; 1 Thessalonians 5:1-4; 2 Peter 3:10; Revelation 3:3; 12:9; 16:15; etc; b - see Isaiah 1:18-21; Jeremiah 18:1-23; Ezekiel 38 to 48; Jonah 1 to 4; Zechariah 6:15; 14:10-21; Hebrews 13:6; James 1:17; etc.

The following acronym is designed to remind you, of <u>four simple steps</u>—which is all that it takes to be ready: J.U.S.T. You can think of it in <u>two ways</u>: "just" means only this, <u>nothing more</u>; and "just" is also the <u>root</u> word of *just* ice. So you "just" need to follow these <u>four</u> steps, in order to be "just" before <u>God</u> (in His eyes). Like the Ten Commandments, these are <u>negative</u> requirements; thou shalt NOT be ...

$\underline{J} = \underline{Jealous} \bullet \underline{U} = \underline{Uninformed} \bullet \underline{S} = \underline{Stubborn} \bullet \underline{T} = \underline{Timid}$

Lucifer originally <u>fell</u>, because of <u>pride</u> over what he <u>already had</u>—and he also fell from jealousy, over what he did <u>not have</u> (a). So please don't be jealous over God <u>blessing someone else</u>, more than you (or whatever it is, that you might be jealous about). If you <u>overcome</u> the sin of jealousy, then God may give you something *just* as good or <u>better</u>—than the <u>very thing</u> that you wanted, but didn't have; and if you <u>don't</u> overcome jealousy, it will be a <u>disaster</u> for you: both in this life, and in your eternal destiny (b). a - see Isaiah 14:12-17; Ezekiel 28:11-19; Luke 10:18; Revelation 12:7-9; etc; b - see Daniel 6:1-28; 9:22,23; 10:11,19; 12:2,3,10; etc.

Hosea 4:6 • "My people are **destroyed** for **lack of knowledge** [uninformed]: because thou hast **rejected knowledge**, I will also **reject thee**" (see Isaiah 56:10; Matthew 23:13,15; Luke 11:52; Romans 10:2,3; 11:25; 1 Corinthians 10:1; 12:1; 2 Corinthians 2:11; 1 Thessalonians 4:13; 2 Peter 3:2-9; etc).

If you choose to be <u>willfully ignorant</u>, God will <u>not excuse</u> you; and all those who censor God's word, or even <u>attempt to censor</u> it, will be subjects of <u>His wrath</u> (see the above verse, and the additional references). However, if you are unintentionally <u>uninformed</u>, and are <u>eager to learn</u> God's desires for you: then He will <u>accept</u> your <u>sincerity</u>, and lead you into <u>greater light</u> (see Luke 12:47,48; Acts 17:30,31).

Once you do find <u>new information</u>, and greater light (even if it doesn't fit your previous beliefs, and pet theories): <u>don't</u> be stubborn; simply <u>accept</u> the advanced <u>light</u>, with joy! Why not?? There is <u>nothing</u> that can possibly do you and others <u>more good</u>, than knowing and accepting the <u>truth</u> (see Psalm 119:1-176; Matthew 7:21-29; John 8:31-36; 13:17; 14:6; 17:17; 2 Thessalonians 2:11-13; etc).

Finally, <u>do not</u> be <u>timid</u>. <u>Humility isn't</u> the same thing as <u>timidity</u>; one is good, the other is bad. Godly men and women, down through the ages, have taken a <u>bold</u> stand for <u>truth</u>—sometimes, even at the <u>cost</u> of their own <u>lives</u> (see Genesis 4:1-11; Acts 7:53-60; 12:1-3; Revelation 2:10-13; 6:9-11; 17:6; 21:7,8; etc). So <u>don't be</u> <u>afraid</u> to believe the truth internally, live it externally, and also <u>share</u> it with others. Especially in our modern times, it's relatively easy to <u>share</u> things: both locally, and <u>worldwide</u>. In fact, God <u>planned</u> it to be this way. It is <u>no coincidence</u>, that the <u>internet</u> (and various other communication technologies) have just <u>recently</u> come into existence, within the last few decades. Jehovah has <u>set the stage</u>: for everyone to hear His <u>final messages</u>, within a rather <u>short period</u> of time—and that time is <u>now</u>!

<u>Proverbs 4:18,19</u> • "But the path of the **JUST** is as the shining light, that shineth more and more unto the perfect day. The way of the wicked is as darkness: they know not at what they stumble."

L-2. Who Discovered these Literal and Symbolic Grails?

There are <u>countless people</u>—over the centuries, and even the millennia—who have been <u>involved</u>, in helping to bring all these truths together. We are privileged to be living in a <u>time</u>, when all the <u>puzzle</u> <u>pieces</u> can finally be put into the <u>right places</u>; and the big picture can be <u>completed</u> ("the perfect day"). Therefore, <u>no single person</u> gets all the <u>credit</u>; and that's the way it should be: we should all be <u>thankful</u> for whatever contribution <u>anyone</u> has made, at any time, to the development of <u>all Bible truths</u>. Also, the <u>primary</u> purpose is to give God the glory; those who are out to glorify self, find either nothing or <u>fakes</u>.

But <u>who</u> is the <u>author</u>, of this grail <u>series</u>? So far, no major denomination has taught that Jesus got married; obviously, then, this series is <u>not</u> authored or sponsored by <u>any</u> prominent <u>religious organization</u>. A few people contribute, to these articles—but <u>far more important</u> than names, is the <u>evidence</u>; if it's clear and true: then it <u>really doesn't matter</u> whether AI wrote it (Artificial Intelligence), or a <u>child</u>, or whoever. Nevertheless, for those interested in <u>names</u>, please check the <u>traveling group</u> webpage (see L-3).

It should be rather <u>quick</u> and easy, to download and <u>read</u> the various grail articles; and this fact may leave a <u>subconscious</u> impression, that <u>creating</u> these articles was <u>also</u> rather quick and <u>easy</u>—but <u>nothing</u> could be <u>further</u> from the <u>truth</u>! Creating this material took <u>decades</u> of research, often staying up <u>all night</u> <u>long</u>; and sometimes <u>exceeding</u> 100 hours <u>per week</u>, of marathon <u>studying</u>. And in order to accomplish all this: high-paying jobs have been <u>forfeited</u>, to the tune of <u>millions</u> of dollars <u>lost</u>; plus, a large <u>house</u> and a <u>business</u> were sacrificed, worth at least <u>half a million</u> dollars.

Furthermore, there have been <u>many trips</u> to Israel, Jordan, and elsewhere—with <u>unknown</u> total <u>costs</u> for international flights, hostels, rental cars, gas, etc; plus, some of the <u>searching</u> was done, at a fairly high <u>risk of life</u> and limb. At one biblical site: there was an <u>arrest</u> at 1:50 AM, by soldiers armed with <u>machine</u> <u>guns</u>; and three interrogations, with fine-tooth comb searches of all the luggage. And last, but certainly not least: there was <u>actual death</u>, as a direct result of this work. So <u>stay tuned</u> for all the amazing details, about these and other <u>true story adventures</u>—even more dramatic, than all the fictional ark and holy grail accounts (such as Indiana Jones, etc); but the success has <u>wildly exceeded</u> all expectations!!! Also, please keep in mind the <u>level</u> of the <u>sacrifices</u>, that have gone into <u>providing</u> you with <u>this material</u>—especially when considering <u>your level</u> of <u>support</u>, for this work (whether prayers, financial, and/or sharing).

<u>The Da Vinci Code</u>: "The Holy Grail is arguably the <u>most sought-after treasure</u> in human history. ... You [the finder] will be the <u>keeper of a truth</u> that man has sought for <u>centuries</u>. You will be faced with the <u>responsibility</u> of revealing that truth <u>to the world</u>. The individual who does so will be <u>revered</u> by many and despised by many [a sad but very true statement, about human nature]." (Dan Brown; pages 164,294).


When it comes to the <u>artifact</u> holy grail: some of the <u>fakes</u> are made of <u>precious metals</u> and jewels, and/or they are <u>ancient artifacts</u> (with significant archaeological value). Nevertheless, all the <u>hundreds</u> of fake ones, <u>combined</u>, are NOT worth a <u>drop in the bucket</u>: compared to the value of the <u>real thing</u>! Yet it's <u>not for sale</u>; instead, the plan is to "**give**" it away (Matthew 10:42; Acts 20:35)—as the single <u>most valuable</u> gift, EVER (other than God-given <u>immortality</u>). However, if it were for sale—and some person or group could afford it: the value could easily be in the <u>trillions of dollars</u>!!! And this is <u>not merely</u> because of the <u>past</u> history, of this artifact; no, the <u>ultimate</u> value is in the <u>future</u>—of the *literal* holy grail artifact!

L-3. Traveling Music Group: Fublest among Warness

The name, for the **7GRALLS** music group—"Fairest Among WomeN"—comes <u>from</u> the same <u>book</u>, which is about Christ's *literal* wedding (SOS 1:8; 5:9; 6:1). This traveling group will perform <u>live Christian</u> <u>music</u>, and will also provide live <u>biblical messages</u> (especially about the *literal* grail, and <u>symbolic</u> grail subjects). The music will include originals, covers, and rewrites (covers, with original lyrics and/or harmonies mostly rewritten); in <u>some</u> of the songs, the lyrics are specifically about these advanced <u>grail</u> <u>topics</u>. For information about the <u>musicians</u>, and more, see the FAWN webpage (<u>https://7GRAILS.world/fawn/</u>).

The <u>style</u> of the songs could go by a <u>new title</u>: contempo-vary. This is a <u>coined</u> word, which comes from "contemporary" and "tempo" and "vary"; as the <u>name</u> indicates, often the tempo of the songs will dynamically <u>speed up</u> and <u>slow down</u> (rather than the steady, monotonous, and boring beats of so many pop and rock songs). Variable tempo, of course, <u>isn't new</u> (and it's called rubato); however, as with any music, it is the <u>combination</u> of elements that determines the <u>genre</u>. And the contempo-vary elements will include the following: <u>rubato</u>, and <u>contemporary</u>, with some <u>classical</u>, and/or <u>gospel</u>; plus the most <u>unique</u> element of all, the <u>lyrical</u> style (grail related, intellectually advanced, strong emotionally, and more).

<u>Donations</u> will go to cover music equipment, travel costs, venue rentals, production expenses, and a reasonable salary for the group. The <u>goal</u> (if there's enough interest and support) is no entrance fee; all presentations and concerts will be <u>free to the public</u>, and supported by you. Also, <u>nobody</u> will be buying a <u>luxury</u> yacht, with your donations; if there are <u>extra funds</u>: they will go to help people be <u>ready</u>, for <u>Christ's soon return</u>. If you're in great poverty, just give whatever you can (two mites). For <u>easy</u> methods to <u>donate</u>, please see the following **I. G.I.V.E.** page: <u>https://7GRAILS.world/donate/</u>



L-4. Next: Christ's *Literal* Wedding, in Song of Songs

<u>Part 1</u>, about the ten virgins parable in SOS, will be released in April (2025). <u>Love</u> is the <u>greatest</u> <u>power</u> in the universe; and unadulterated <u>romantic</u> love, is the <u>strongest</u> of all love relationships: God created it that way (see Genesis 2:24; Matthew 19:4-6; Mark 10:6-9; etc). Even Jesus Himself, was <u>strengthened</u> to succeed on the cross—His most <u>severe trial</u> of all—through His <u>romantic</u> relationship, marriage, and twins. In fact, "the Lamb's wife" (His *literal* wife), and newlywed bride, provided <u>emotional support</u>: by being physically <u>present</u>, while He was on the <u>cross</u>. Although this basic truth can easily be found, in the Bible: the amazing and <u>best part</u>, is probably the <u>deepest hidden</u> truth—in the whole Bible! The <u>most valuable</u> truths, in the Bible, are the ones that are the <u>hardest to find</u>; similar to *literal* treasures.

Isaiah 53:10,11 * "... thou shalt make his soul an offering for sin [death], <u>he shall see his seed</u>, he shall **prolong his days** [after dying] ... He shall **see of the travail of his soul**, and shall be **satisfied** ..."

Copyright © 2025, **7 G R A L S** (all rights reserved).